1 2 3 4 5 6	MARYELLEN SHEPPARD 27200 North Highway 1 Fort Bragg, CA 95437 In Pro Per	ELECTRONICALLY FILED 8/9/2021 9:19 AM Superior Court of California County of Mendocino By: S. Delgado Deputy Clerk		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF MENDOCINO			
8				
9	MENDOCINO RAILWAY,	Case No. SCUK-CED 20-74939		
10	Plaintiff,	[APN 038-180-53]		
11 12	v.			
13	JOHN MEYER; REDWOOD EMPIRE TITLE	ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS SHEPPARD INVESTMENTS AND MARYELLEN		
14	COMPANY OF MENDOCINO COUNTY; SHEPPARD INVESTMENTS; MARYELLEN	SHEPPARD		
15	SHEPPARD; MENDOCINO COUNTY TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR; All other Thereons unknown claiming an interest			
16	persons unknown claiming an interest in the property; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,			
17	Defendants.			
18 19				
20				
21	TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTO	DRNEYS OF RECORD:		
22	Defendants SHEPPARD INVESTMENTS and MARYELLEN SHEPPARD			
23	(hereinafter "Defendants") answers the Complaint of Plaintiff Mendocino Railway			
24	("Plaintiff") as follows:			
25	1. Answering paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11 & 12 these answering			
26 27	Defendants lack sufficient information and belief as to the matters set forth			
28				
	ANSWER OF D	EFENDANTS SHEPPARD INVESTMENTS AND MARYELLEN SHEPPARD		

therein, and therefore denies generally and specifically each and every allegation therein.

2. With regard to paragraph 10, Defendants' allege their interest in the real property that is subject of the Complaint is as a lienholder and beneficiary per a Deed of Trust encumbering the property. Pursuant to the terms of the Deed of Trust, any condemnation award or settlement proceeds must be applied to the indebtedness secured by the Deed of Trust and to the repayment of all reasonable costs, expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by Defendants in connection with this action.

WHEREFORE, Defendants pray as follows:

- For just compensation for the taking and/or damaging of Defendants' interests in the Subject Property;
- For costs of suit incurred herein, including attorney's fees and other compensable litigation expenses;
- 3. For interest on the foregoing sums as allows by law; and
- 4. For such other further relief as the court deems just and proper.

14 wgust 6
Dated: June, ____, 2021

Maryellen Sheppard

- 1				
1	PROOF OF SERVICE			
2 3	Mendocino Railway v. John Meyer, et al. Mendocino Superior Court Case No.: SCUK-CVED-20-74939			
4	I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 27200 North Highway 1, Fort Bragg, California. On June, 2021, I served the within document(s):			
5 6	ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS SHEPPARD INVESTMENTS AND MARYELLEN SHEPPARD			
7 8	ELECTRONIC MAIL: By transmitting via e-mail the document listed above to the e-mail address set forth below.			
9	BY MAIL: By placing a true copy of the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Glendale, California addressed as set forth in the attached service list			
10	OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: By overnight delivery, I placed such document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope, for deposit in the designated box or other facility regularly maintained by United Parcel Service for overnight delivery and caused such			
12	envelope to be delivered to the office of the addressee via overnight delivery pursuant to C.C.P. §1013(c), with delivery fees fully prepaid or provided for.			
14	PERSONAL SERVICE: By personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) listed below at the address indicated.			
15				
l6 l7	I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party			
18	served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.			
19	I dealers and a manufe of a minute and a the large of the State of Colifornia that the above is two			
20	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. August			
21	Executed on June, 2021, in Fort Bragg, California.			
22				
23	The same of the sa			
24	4-3			
25				
26				
27				
28				
- 1				

1	SERVICE LIST				
2	Mendocino Railway v. John Meyer, et al. Mendocino Superior Court Case No.: SCUK-CVED-20-74939				
3					
4	Glenn L. Block Attorneys for Plaintiff	Mendocino			
5	California Eminent Domain Law Group, APC 3429 Ocean View Blvd., Suite L Glendale, CA 91208 glb@caledlaw.com				
6	glb@caledlaw.com				
7	Stanban E. Jahnson	4 T.1 - M			
8	Stephen F. Johnson Attorneys for Defendar Mannon, King, Johnson & Wipf, LLP	it John Meyer			
9	Mannon, King, Johnson & Wipf, LLP 200 North School Street, Suite 304 Post Office Box 419 Ukiah, California 95482				
10	steve@mkjlex.com				
11					
12	l formation of the first of the contract of th				
13					
14	at #4 (#1.#aman) do l'i que l'apaci con le compete d'aboli d'ES de Reservoi, o president de la compete de la competencia de la compete della c				
15					
16	g aging jelasovne janarjedske na kolonomi etika aliko anige, am etika l sa kisaplanimist Pharif S. Postenija i manaro etika izsana alikopani. Etikapani				
17	an sentanggarang an mga masa menanggaran nenggaran sentang di sentang perunggaran nenggaran pentanggaran senta Banggaranggaranggaranggaranggaranggaranggaranggaranggaranggaranggaranggaranggaranggaranggaranggaranggaranggaran				
18	The second state of the second				
19					
20					
21	The state of the s				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					